## 196. Convenient Preparation and Spectroscopic Characterization of Methyl Hydrodisulfide and Methyl Deuterodisulfide

by Guido Grassi, Marek Tyblewski<sup>1</sup>), and Alfred Bauder\*

Laboratorium für Physikalische Chemie, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, ETH-Zentrum, CH-8092 Zürich

Dedicated to Prof. Dr. Tino Gäumann on the occasion of his 60th birthday

(19.VII.85)

Methyl hydrodisulfide and methyl deuterodisulfide have been prepared in a four-step synthesis. These compounds have been characterized spectroscopically including microwave spectra.

**Introduction.** – The preparation of methyl hydrodisulfide **4** was described originally by *Böhme* and *Zinner* [1]. Since their account of the synthetic work omits essential details for a successful preparation of **4**, we report a convenient method for its synthesis. Methyl deuterodisulfide **5** was obtained by replacing  $CH_3OH$  and HCl with  $CH_3OD$  and DCl in the last reaction step. Adequate spectroscopic data of **3–5** are given for their characterization for the first time. The microwave spectra of **4** and **5** unequivocally prove the equilibrium structure of **4**. The analysis of the microwave spectra will be reported elsewhere [2].

The synthetic procedure started with the commercially available thioacetic acid and acetyl chloride. They were reacted to form diacetyl sulfide 1 [3].

 $CH_3COSH + CH_3COCl \rightarrow CH_3COSCOCH_3$ 

1

1

4

As an alternative, acetic anhydride and H<sub>2</sub>S also yield 1 [4].

$$CH_3COOCOCH_3 + H_2S \rightarrow CH_3COSCOCH_3$$

Acetylsulfur chloride **2** was prepared by reacting **1** with  $SO_2Cl_2$  [4].  $SO_2Cl_2$  can be replaced by  $Cl_2$  [5].

 $CH_{3}COSCOCH_{3} + SO_{2}Cl_{2} \rightarrow CH_{3}COSCl$ 2

CH<sub>3</sub>SH was combined with 2 to form the unstable acetyl methyl disulfide 3 [1].

$$CH_3COSCI + CH_3SH \rightarrow CH_3COSSCH_3$$

To prevent decomposition 3 was stored in liquid  $N_2$  immediately after preparation. Solvolysis of 3 with HCl in CH<sub>3</sub>OH produced 4 [1].

 $CH_{3}COSSCH_{3} + CH_{3}OH + HCl \rightarrow CH_{3}SSH$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Present address: Schweizerische Sodafabrik, CH-8437 Zurzach.

In this last step CH<sub>1</sub>OH and HCl were replaced by CH<sub>1</sub>OD and DCl to obtain 5.

$$CH_{3}COSSCH_{3} + CH_{3}OD + DCI \rightarrow CH_{3}SSD$$
5

It was difficult to obtain very pure samples of 3, 4, and 5, because they decomposed noticeably at 20°. They were stored always in liquid N<sub>2</sub>. Despite this precaution they contained traces of polysulfides  $CH_3COS_xCH_3$  and  $CH_3S_xCH_3$  (x = 3-6) and some residual H<sub>2</sub>O as shown by the mass spectra.

Spectroscopic Characterization of 4 and 5. IR Spectrum. The Ar matrix-isolated IR spectrum of 4 was recorded in the range of 4000–200 cm<sup>-1</sup> at a resolution of better than  $1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The premixed gases of 4 Torr of 4 and 760 Torr of Ar were deposited at a rate of 150 µm/h up to a final thickness of 0.9 mm on a CsI window in a liquid He cryostat. A low M:A ratio and a thick matrix were needed for sufficient absorption, since the IR spectrum of 4 was in general very weak. Table 1 lists the observed frequencies of 4 together with the estimated relative intensities. The IR spectrum is compared to that of the related compounds CH<sub>3</sub>SH [6] and CH<sub>3</sub>SSCH<sub>3</sub> [7]. There exist 15 normal vibrations of 4 which are all IR active since the molecule has no symmetry in its equilibrium conformation [2]. Most of them were observed except one of the CH<sub>1</sub> rocking modes and two torsional modes below the spectral range covered. Their tentative assignment was derived from comparison with  $CH_3SH$  and  $CH_3SSCH_3$ . The frequencies of 4 attributed to the  $CH_3$  group have mostly values between those of the corresponding ones in the test molecules. The SH, CS, and SS stretching frequencies compare favorably to those of the examples given. Furthermore, assignment is consistent with the results reported our for the SH

CH <sub>3</sub> SSH (4) <sup>a</sup> )			CH <sub>3</sub> SH <sup>b</sup> )		CH <sub>3</sub> SSCH <sub>3</sub> °)	
Freq.	Int. <sup>d</sup> )	Description	Freq.	Description	Freq.	Description
3007.0	w	CH <sub>3</sub> stretch	3011.0	CH <sub>3</sub> asym. stretch A'		
2995.0	br. w	CH <sub>3</sub> stretch	3007.0	CH <sub>3</sub> asym. stretch A"	2985	CH3 asym. stretch
2930.0	S	CH <sub>3</sub> stretch	2948.1	CH <sub>3</sub> sym. stretch A'	2914	CH <sub>3</sub> sym. stretch
2535.0	br. w	SH stretch	2603.2	SH stretch A'		
1437.0	s	CH <sub>3</sub> deform.	1445.4	CH <sub>3</sub> asym. deform. A'	1432	CH <sub>3</sub> asym. bend
1419.2	\$	CH <sub>3</sub> deform.	1435.3	CH <sub>3</sub> asym. deform. A"	1410	CH <sub>3</sub> asym. bend
1312.9	т	CH <sub>3</sub> deform.	1326.0	CH <sub>3</sub> sym. deform. A'	1298	CH <sub>3</sub> sym. bend
		2	1067.9	CH <sub>3</sub> rock. A'		
958.8	m	CH <sub>3</sub> rock.	954.8	CH <sub>3</sub> rock. A"	950	CH3 rock.
956.0	m {	C11310CK.	<b>77-1</b> .0	CH3TOCK. A	750	CII3 IOCK.
874.4	mw	SSH bend				
847.8	W	?	799.8	CSH in-pl. bend A'		
697.1	mw	CS stretch	704.0	CS stretch A'	690	CS stretch
512.0	w	SS stretch			517	SS stretch
314.1	vs	CSS bend			272	CSS bend
					240	CSS bend
					116	SS torsion

Table 1. Vibrational Frequencies [cm<sup>-1</sup>] of CH<sub>3</sub>SSH, CH<sub>3</sub>SH, and CH<sub>3</sub>SSCH<sub>3</sub>

<sup>a</sup>) From the Ar matrix spectrum, this work.

<sup>b</sup>) From the Ar matrix spectrum [6].

<sup>c</sup>) From the IR and RE spectra of the liquid [7].

<sup>d</sup>) Estimated relative intensities.

······································	CH <sub>3</sub> SSH	CH <sub>3</sub> SSD	
A	16865.3758(71)	15953.531(22)	
В	4442.1185(18)	4298.021(11)	
С	3680.3640(16)	3617.873(7)	

Table 2. Rotational Constants [MHz] of CH<sub>3</sub>SSH and CH<sub>3</sub>SSD (standard deviations in parentheses)

stretching (2556.5, 2559.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and SSH bending (882, 883 cm<sup>-1</sup>) vibrations of  $H_2S_2$  [8]. The doubling of the CH<sub>3</sub> rocking mode is considered to be caused by a site splitting in the Ar matrix.

*MW Spectra*. Rotational spectra of 4 and 5 were recorded over the range of 18–40 GHz with *Stark*-modulated spectrometers of our own design [2]. Rotational constants listed in *Table 2* were determined from the accurately measured transition frequencies. The molecular equilibrium structure compatible with these rotational constants exhibits a dihedral angle CSSH of 88.9° [2].

We gratefully acknowledge financial support by the *Schweizerischer Nationalfonds* (project No. 2.407-0.82). We thank Prof. *M. Quack* for the permission to use an IR spectrometer and Miss *B. Brandenberg*, Mr. *L. Braunschweiler* and Mrs. *L. Golgowski* for measuring the <sup>1</sup>H-, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR and mass spectra, respectively.

## **Experimental Part**

General. IR spectra of reasonably stable compounds were recorded as 5% solns. in CCl<sub>4</sub> with a 0.1-mm KBr cell on a *Perkin-Elmer 983* spectrophotometer. Those of unstable compounds were obtained in an Ar matrix at 4 K with M:A = 1:190 on a *Perkin-Elmer 325* spectrophotometer. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were taken on a *Bruker WM-300* (300 MHz), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR on a *Varian CFT-20* instrument. CDCl<sub>3</sub> was used as a solvent. Chemical shifts  $\delta$  [ppm] refer to TMS as internal standard. Mass spectra were measured on a *Hitachi-Perkin-Elmer RMU-6M* instrument.

Acetyl Methyl Disulfide (3). Freshly distilled CH<sub>3</sub>COCl (88 g, 1.12 mol) was added dropwise to 42.5 g (0.56 mol) of CH<sub>3</sub>COSH over 15 min. The mixture was refluxed for 4 h and then fractionated at 54–56°/15 Torr: 43 g (0.36 mol, 65%) of 1 were obtained as a yellow liquid (content 92% by GLC). SO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (49.1 g, 0.37 mol) was added dropwise to 43 g (0.36 mol) of 1 at  $-30^{\circ}$  over 30 min. The pressure was slowly reduced down to 11 Torr over 30 min and the mixture was then gradually heated to 30° within the next hour. Compound 2 (14.6 g, 132 mmol, 37%) remained as a dark yellow liquid. It was dissolved in 50 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O and added dropwise to a soln. of 9.2 g (190 mmol) of CH<sub>3</sub>SH at  $-20^{\circ}$ . The mixture was stirred at  $-10^{\circ}$  for 30 min. The volatile parts were distilled off at  $40^{\circ}/14$  Torr. The residue was fractionated at 80°/2–3 Torr yielding 4.5 g (37 mmol, 28%) of 3 which was collected in liquid N<sub>2</sub>. IR (solution): 2921m, 1731s, 1430m, 1415m, 1351m, 1111s, 940s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 2.45(s); 2.42(s). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 156.7(s); 28.6(s); 22.7(s). MS: 192(17), 158(13), 126(16), 122(46), 80(29), 79(52), 64(100), 47(34), 46(23), 45(56), 44(18), 43(97), 42(21), 34(11), 32(17), 28(11), 18(16), 15(46).

*Methyl Hydrodisulfide* (4). Compound 3 (1.0 g, 8.2 mmol) was mixed with a soln. of 1.0 g (27.4 mmol) of gaseous HCl in 4.0 g (125 mmol) of CH<sub>3</sub>OH at 0° and stirred for 60 min at 0° and for 90 min without cooling. Finally, 10 ml H<sub>2</sub>O was added and stirred for 5 min. A yellow oil (400 mg) was separated as the lower layer from the emulsion by centrifugation. The oil was shaken with 3 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O and centrifuged. The crude product (340 mg) was transferred under vacuum to an ampoule and traces of CH<sub>3</sub>COOCH<sub>3</sub> were pumped off yielding 230 mg (2.9 mmol, 34%) of 4 as a light yellow, slightly viscous liquid. IR (Ar matrix): *cf. Table 1.* <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 3.20(*s*, SH): 2.46(*s*, Me). MS: 158(18), 128(14), 126(100), 111(17), 94(18), 80(65), 79(67), 64(59), 48(45), 47(86), 46(30), 45(71), 34(16), 32(13), 28(17), 18(18).

Methyl Deuterodisulfide (5). Compound 3 (1.0 g, 8.2 mmol) was reacted with a soln. of 1.0 g (26.7 mmol) of gaseous DCl in 4.0 g (121 mmol) of CH<sub>3</sub>OD at 0° as described for the preparation of 4. After workup with D<sub>2</sub>O and trap-to-trap distillation in a vacuum line 5 (200 mg, 2.5 mmol, 30%) was obtained. It was characterized by its microwave spectrum (cf. Table 2).

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Böhme, G. Zinner, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1954, 585, 142.
- [2] M. Tyblewski, T.-K. Ha, A. Bauder, J. Mol. Spectrosc., to be published.
- [3] W.A. Bonner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 4270.
- [4] H. Böhme, H.-W. Goubeaud, H.-D. Stachel, Chem. Ber. 1959, 92, 362.
- [5] H. Böhme, M. Clement, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1952, 576, 61.
- [6] A. J. Barnes, H. E. Hallam, J. D. R. Howells, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 1972, 68, 737.
- [7] D. W. Scott, H. L. Finke, M. E. Gross, G. B. Guthrie, H. M. Huffman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 2424; I. F. Trotter, H. W. Thompson, J. Chem. Soc. 1946, 481; H. Gerding, R. Westrik, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1942, 61, 412.
- [8] B. P. Winnewisser, M. Winnewisser, Z. Naturforsch., A 1968, 23, 832; F. Fehér, W. Laue, G. Winkhaus, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1956, 288, 113.